

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
WWW HISTO GOV	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,992	04/27/2001	Patrick J. MeLampy	050115-1030	1364
24504 7590	11/18/2004	EXAMINER		
THOMAS, KAY	DEN, HORSTEME	HO, DUC CHI		
100 GALLERIA P	PARKWAY, NW			
STE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA	30339-5948		2665	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	ar					
		09/	844,992	MELAMPY ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit						
		Duc	СНо	2665						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 April 20	001.							
2a)□)⊠ This actio								
3)	·									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 43-62 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 23-42 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	ion Papers									
´ 9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objecti		•	, ,						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the three oath or declaration is objected to be									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•	1					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachmen	t(s)									
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 因 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>08-19-02</u> .			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO)-152)					

Claim Objections

1. Claims 23-42 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 23, "the computers" in line 7 should be replaced with "all computers" for consistency with the specification on page 10-line 17.

Regarding claim 27, a typo error "he" in line 1 should be corrected.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-22, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "said associated computers", and "said cluster of computers" in line 14. There are insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. The same remark applies to claim 7-line 3.

Claim 7 recites the limitations "said transceiver" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 27, it is unclear as to what is the intended claim limitation by reciting "prior to transmitting said received and screened information <u>outside said</u> <u>plurality of computers</u>" in lines 2-3, since in claim 23, an associated computer (among the plurality of computers) is assuming to receive a route information from a first

Application/Control Number: 09/844,992 Page 3

Art Unit: 2665

computer to a plurality of computers, wherein a second processor of the associated computer performs an inbound screen, a comparison step, and performing an outbound screen on the received and screened information, etc,.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 43-62 are allowed.
- 4. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. Claims 7, and 27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 2-6, and 8-22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claim 23 is objected to but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection set forth as above.
- 8. Claims 24-26, and 28-42 would be allowable if claim 23 is to overcome its objection as set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,992 Page 4

Art Unit: 2665

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Salama et al. (US 6,584,093); Foti et al. (US 2002/0227915); Burns et al. (US 2003/0014644); Roy (US 2004/0223488); Gallant (US 2002/0137490) are cited to show system and method for assisting in controlling real-time transport protocol flow through multiple networks via use of a cluster of session routers, which is considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (571) 272-3155.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,992

Art Unit: 2665

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the 11. Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Patent Examiner

Duc Ho

11-12-04